arXiv:1512.08594v1 [math.RA] 29 Dec 2015

Optimal 5-step nilpotent quadratic algebras

Natalia Iyudu, Stanislav Shkarin

Abstract

By the Golod–Shafarevich Theorem, an associative algebra R given by n generators and $d < \frac{n^2}{3}$ homogeneous quadratic relations is not 5-step nilpotent. We prove that this estimate is optimal. Namely, we show that for every positive integer n, there is an algebra R given by n generators and $\lceil \frac{n^2}{3} \rceil$ homogeneous quadratic relations such that R is 5-step nilpotent.

MSC: 17A45, 16A22

Keywords: quadratic algebras, Golod–Shafarevich theorem, Hilbert series, Anick's conjecture, nilpotency index.

1 Introduction

In the series of papers [7, 8, 9] we study the question on whether the famous Golod-Shafarevich estimate, which gives a lower bound for the Hilbert series of a (noncommutative) algebra, is attained. This question was considered by Anick in his 1983 paper, "Generic algebras and CW-complexes" [1], in connection with the study of rationality of Poincare series associated to loop spaces. Here instead of looking at the Hilbert series as a whole, we concentrate on the conditions of vanishing of its certain component, that is on the condition of the nilpotency of given index. It turns out that in the case when the lower estimate of the number of relations necessary for the vanishing of a given component is rational, we can apply our technique to prove that this estimate is attained.

For a wider information on related problems about Golod-Shafarevich algebras see [17, 11, 12, 13, 5], mentioned above papers [7, 8, 9], and references therein.

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{K}$ is an arbitrary field, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of non-negative integers and $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of positive integers. For a real number t, $\lceil t \rceil$ is the smallest integer $\geqslant t$. For a set X, $\mathbb{K}\langle X\rangle$ stands for the free algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by X equipped with the natural degree grading, where each $x \in X$ has degree 1. The symbol $\langle X\rangle$ stands for the set of all monomials (=words) in the alphabet X.

We deal with *quadratic algebras*, that is, algebras R given by homogeneous relations of degree 2. Such algebras inherit the described above grading of $\mathbb{K}\langle X\rangle$ and are degree graded. If X is finite, one can consider the Hilbert series of R:

$$H_R(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_q)\, t^q,$$

where R_q is the space of homogeneous elements of R of degree q. See [10, 14, 15] for more information on quadratic algebras.

Definition 1.1. A quadratic algebra R is called *k-step nilpotent* if $R_k = \{0\}$.

Note that if R is k-step nilpotent, then $R_m = \{0\}$ for $m \geqslant k$. Thus R is k-step nilpotent if and only if H_R is a polynomial of degree $< k$, provided X is finite.

On the Hilbert series we consider a componentwise ordering: for two power series $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ with real coefficients we write $a(t) \geqslant b(t)$ if $a_j \geqslant b_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Recall the following remarkable

estimate of Golod and Shafarevich [6]. Although their result applies to any algebra defined by homogeneous relations, we state it here in the case of quadratic algebras.

Theorem GS. *Let, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leqslant d \leqslant n^2$ and R be a quadratic $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with n generators and d relations. Then $H_R(t)(1 - nt + dt^2) \geqslant 1$.*

In [10] it is observed that Theorem GS implies the following result.

Corollary GS. *If R is a quadratic $\mathbb{K}$-algebra given by n generators and $d < \varphi_k n^2$ relations, then $\dim R_k > 0$, where $\frac{1}{\varphi_k} = 4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)$.*

Anick [1, 2] proved that the estimate in Corollary GS is optimal for $k = 3$. Namely, $\varphi_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and Anick has shown that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a quadratic $\mathbb{K}$-algebra R given by n generators and $\left\lceil \frac{n^2}{2} \right\rceil$ relations such that R is 3-step nilpotent. The authors proved in [9] that the estimate from Corollary GS is asymptotically optimal for every $k \geqslant 3$. So far, the only numbers k for which the optimality was known were $k = 2$ (trivial) and $k = 3$ due to Anick. In [7], the authors have developed a technique of constructing quadratic algebras with small dimensions of the graded components. In the present paper this technique is enhanced and used to show that the estimate provided by Corollary GS is optimal for $k = 5$.

Theorem 1.2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra R given by n generators and $\left\lceil \frac{n^2}{3} \right\rceil$ homogeneous quadratic relations such that R is 5-step nilpotent.*

Since $\varphi_5 = \frac{1}{3}$, Theorem 1.2 shows that the estimate in Corollary GS is optimal for $k = 5$. It is worth mentioning, that we take an advantage of the fact that φ_5 is rational. Unfortunately, our method, as it is, does not work in the case when φ_k is irrational, and $\varphi_2 = 1$, $\varphi_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\varphi_5 = \frac{1}{3}$ are the only rational numbers among φ_k. For instance, $\varphi_4 = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and, hence, the optimality of Corollary GS for $k = 4$ and an arbitrary n remains an open question. In [9] it is shown that the estimate in Corollary GS for $k = 4$ is optimal when n is a Fibonacci number, while in [7] its optimality is verified for $n \leqslant 7$. Since 8 is a Fibonacci number, the optimality for $n \leqslant 8$ follows. Note also that Theorem 1.2 provides yet another proof of the conjecture of Vershik [15] that a generic quadratic algebra over an infinite field with $n \geqslant 3$ generators and $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ relations is finite dimensional.

2 Main lemma

In this section we describe an algorithm of obtaining an infinite collection of k-step nilpotent quadratic algebras from one k-step nilpotent quadratic algebra. This is an enhanced version of a similar algorithm from [7]. Note that in the present form, it still admits various generalizations.

Our data comprises $g_x, g_y, r_{xx}, r_{xy}, r_{yy} \in \mathbb{N}$, two disjoint sets

$$X = \{x_{j,s} : 1 \leqslant j \leqslant g_x,\ s \in \mathbb{N}\}, \qquad Y = \{y_{k,t} : 1 \leqslant k \leqslant g_y,\ t \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

of pairwise distinct variables (=generators) $x_{j,s}$ and $y_{k,t}$ and the finite collection of scalars

$$c^{xx}_{p,j,l}, c^{yy}_{q,k,w}, c^{xy}_{r,j,k}, c^{yx}_{r,k,j} \in \mathbb{K} \qquad \text{for} \qquad \begin{array}{l} 1 \leqslant j, l \leqslant g_x,\ \ 1 \leqslant k, w \leqslant g_y \text{ and} \\ 1 \leqslant p \leqslant r_{xx},\ \ 1 \leqslant q \leqslant r_{yy},\ \ 1 \leqslant r \leqslant r_{xy}. \end{array}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$X_\alpha = \{x_{j,s} : 1 \leqslant j \leqslant g_x,\ 1 \leqslant s \leqslant \alpha\}, \qquad Y_\beta = \{y_{k,t} : 1 \leqslant k \leqslant g_y,\ 1 \leqslant t \leqslant \beta\}.$$

Note that $X_0 = Y_0 = \varnothing$. If $\alpha + \beta \geqslant 1$, by $R^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ we denote the algebra given by the generator set $X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta$ and the quadratic relations

$$f^{xx}_{p,a,b} = \sum_{1 \leqslant j,l \leqslant g_x} c^{xx}_{p,j,l} x_{j,a} x_{l,b} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leqslant p \leqslant r_{xx},\ 1 \leqslant a, b \leqslant \alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

$$f^{yy}_{q,s,t} = \sum_{1 \leqslant k,w \leqslant g_y} c^{yy}_{q,k,w} y_{k,s} y_{w,t} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leqslant q \leqslant r_{yy},\ 1 \leqslant s, t \leqslant \beta, \tag{2.2}$$

$$f^{xy}_{r,a,s} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g_x \\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant g_y}} (c^{xy}_{r,j,k} x_{j,a} y_{k,s} + c^{yx}_{r,k,j} y_{k,s} x_{j,a}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leqslant r \leqslant r_{xy},\ 1 \leqslant a \leqslant \alpha,\ 1 \leqslant s \leqslant \beta. \tag{2.3}$$

We need some extra notation. First, we denote the ideal in $\mathbb{K}\langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta\rangle$ generated by the above relations by the symbol $I_{\alpha,\beta}$. For a monomial (=a word) U in the alphabet $X \cup Y$, its degree (=the number of letters) will be denoted $\deg U$. Consider the algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{S}_x : \mathbb{K}\langle X \cup Y\rangle \to \mathbb{K}\langle X\rangle, \quad \mathcal{S}_y : \mathbb{K}\langle X \cup Y\rangle \to \mathbb{K}\langle Y\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi : \mathbb{K}\langle X \cup Y\rangle \to \mathbb{K}\langle X_1 \cup Y_1\rangle$$

defined by their action on the generators as follows: $S_x(x_{j,s}) = x_{j,s}$, $S_x(y_{k,t}) = 1$, $S_y(x_{j,s}) = 1$, $S_y(y_{k,t}) = y_{k,t}$, $\Phi(x_{j,s}) = x_{j,1}$ and $\Phi(y_{k,t}) = y_{k,1}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant g_x$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g_y$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Informally speaking, $\mathcal{S}_x$ removes all $y_{k,t}$ from each monomial, while $\mathcal{S}_y$ removes all $x_{j,s}$ from each monomial. Obviously, for every $U \in \langle X \cup Y\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg U &= \deg \mathcal{S}_x(U) + \deg \mathcal{S}_y(U), \\ \deg \Phi(U) &= \deg U, \\ \Phi(\mathcal{S}_x(U)) &= \mathcal{S}_x(\Phi(U)), \\ \Phi(\mathcal{S}_y(U)) &= \mathcal{S}_y(\Phi(U)). \end{aligned}$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leqslant n_x \leqslant n$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_{n_x}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_x}$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_{n_y}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-n_x}$, then we define $M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$ to be the linear span of all $U \in \langle X \cup Y\rangle$ for which $\mathcal{S}_x(U) = x_{j_1,s_1} \ldots x_{j_{n_x},s_{n_x}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_y(U) = y_{k_1,t_1} \ldots y_{k_{n_y},t_{n_y}}$ with $1 \leqslant j_a \leqslant g_x$ and $1 \leqslant k_b \leqslant g_y$. It is straightforward to see that the space H_n of all homogeneous degree n elements of $\mathbb{K}\langle X \cup Y\rangle$ is the **direct** sum of the spaces $M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$ for all admissible choices of n_x, $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$. Furthermore,

$$\Phi \text{ restricted to } M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x} \text{ is a linear isomorphism of } M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x} \text{ and } M^{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}}_{n,n_x}, \text{ where } \mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1). \tag{2.4}$$

Next, if f is one of the relations defined in (2.1–2.3) and $U, V \in \langle X \cup Y\rangle$, then

$$UfV \text{ belongs to exactly one of the spaces } M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x} \text{ (with } n = \deg U + \deg V + 2). \tag{2.5}$$

This easily follows from the shape of the relations f.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $U, V \in \langle X_1 \cup Y_1\rangle$ and f be one of the relations defined in* (2.1–2.3) *with $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Let also $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_x \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ be such that $UfV \in M^{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}}_{n,n_x}$ (they do exist and are unique according to* (2.5)*). Then for every $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{N}^{n_x}$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{N}^{n-n_x}$ with components bounded above by $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ respectively, there are unique $U', V' \in \langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta\rangle$ and a unique relation f' from the list* (2.1–2.3) *such that $\Phi(U'f'V') = UfV$ and $U'f'V' \in M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$.*

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_x(U) &= x_{j_1,1} x_{j_2,1} \ldots x_{j_a,1}, \\ \mathcal{S}_y(U) &= y_{k_1,1} y_{k_2,1} \ldots y_{k_b,1}, \\ \mathcal{S}_x(V) &= x_{j_c,1} x_{j_{c+1},1} \ldots x_{j_{n_x},1}, \\ \mathcal{S}_y(V) &= y_{k_d,1} y_{k_{d+1},1} \ldots y_{k_{n-n_x},1}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we can choose the (unique) $U' \in \langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(U') &= U,\\ \mathcal{S}_x(U') &= x_{j_1,s_1}x_{j_2,s_2}\dots x_{j_a,s_a},\\ \mathcal{S}_y(U') &= y_{k_1,t_1}x_{k_2,t_2}\dots x_{y_b,t_b}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\deg U' = a+b$. Similarly, we can choose the (unique) $V' \in \langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta \rangle$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(V') &= V,\\ \mathcal{S}_x(V') &= x_{j_c,s_c}x_{j_{c+1},s_{c+1}}\dots x_{j_{n_x},s_{n_x}},\\ \mathcal{S}_y(V) &= y_{k_d,t_d}y_{k_{d+1},t_{d+1}}\dots y_{k_{n-n_x},t_{n-n_x}}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\deg V' = n-a-b-2$. If $f = f^{xx}_{p,1,1}$, we have $c = a+3$ and $d = b+1$ and we set $f' = f^{xx}_{p,s_{a+1},s_{a+2}}$. If $f = f^{yy}_{q,1,1}$, we have $c = a+1$ and $d = b+3$ and we set $f' = f^{yy}_{q,t_{b+1},t_{b+2}}$. Finally, if $f = f^{xy}_{r,1,1}$, we have $c = a+2$, $d = b+2$ and we set $f' = f^{xy}_{r,s_{a+1},s_{b+1}}$. In any case, $U'f'V' \in M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$ and $\Phi(U'f'V') = UfV$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $W \in \langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta \rangle$. Then $W \in I_{\alpha,\beta}$ if and only if $\Phi(W) \in I_{1,1}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\Phi(f^{xx}_{p,a,b}) = f^{xx}_{p,1,1}$, $\Phi(f^{yy}_{q,s,t}) = f^{yy}_{q,1,1}$ and $\Phi(f^{xy}_{r,a,s}) = f^{xy}_{r,1,1}$ for all possible values of p, q, r, a, b, s and t, where the relations f are defined in (2.1–2.3). It follows that $\Phi(I_{\alpha,\beta}) = I_{1,1}$. Thus $\Phi(W) \in I_{1,1}$ if $W \in I_{\alpha,\beta}$. It remains to show the converse. Assume that $\Phi(W) \in I_{1,1}$. Since $W \in \langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta \rangle$, W belongs to (exactly) one of the spaces $M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$. Note that $n = \deg W$, $n_x = \deg \mathcal{S}_x(W)$, the components of $\mathbf{s}$ belong to $\{1,\dots,\alpha\}$ and the components of $\mathbf{t}$ belong to $\{1,\dots,\beta\}$. Since $\Phi(W)$ is a degree n monomial and belongs to $I_{1,1}$, we can write

$$\Phi(W) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \lambda_m U_m f_m V_m,$$

where $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, $U_m, V_m \in \langle X_1 \cup Y_1 \rangle$ and $f_1,\dots,f_N$ is a string of relations taken from (2.1–2.3) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (repetitions are allowed).

By Lemma 2.1, there are $U'_m, V'_m \in \langle X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta \rangle$ and relations f'_m from the list (2.1–2.3) such that $\Phi(U'_m f'_m V'_m) = U_m f_m V_m$ and $U'_m f'_m V'_m \in M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$ for each m. That is, the above display yields

$$\Phi\left(W - \sum_{m=1}^{N} \lambda_m U'_m f'_m V'_m\right) = 0.$$

Since $W \in M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$ and each $U'_m f'_m V'_m$ belongs to $M^{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}_{n,n_x}$, (2.4) implies that

$$W = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \lambda_m U'_m f'_m V'_m.$$

Since each f'_m belongs to the ideal $I_{\alpha,\beta}$, we have $W \in I_{\alpha,\beta}$. □

The following lemma is our key instrument.

Lemma 2.3. *If $R^{(1,1)}$ is n-step nilpotent, then $R^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is n-step nilpotent for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $n \geqslant 3$. Assume that $R^{(1,1)}$ is n-step nilpotent. Let W be a degree n monomial in the alphabet $X_\alpha \cup Y_\beta$. The proof will be complete if we verify that W belongs to the ideal $I_{\alpha,\beta}$ generated by the relations (2.1–2.3). Clearly, $\Phi(W)$ is a degree n monomial in the alphabet $X_1 \cup Y_1$. Since $R^{(1,1)}$ is n-step nilpotent $\Phi(W) \in I_{1,1}$. By Lemma 2.2, $W \in I_{\alpha,\beta}$, which completes the proof. □

Note that $R^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ has exactly $\alpha g_x + \beta g_y$ generators and $\alpha^2 r_{xx} + \beta^2 r_{yy} + \alpha\beta r_{xy}$ relations. This observation and the shape of the relations in $R^{(1,1)}$ allow us to give the following reformulation of Lemma 2.3. As usual, for subsets A and B of an algebra R, $A \cdot B$ stands for $\mathtt{span}\,\{ab : a \in A,\, b \in B\}$.

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that there exists a quadratic algebra R given by the $(g_x + g_y)$-element set $X \cup Y$ of generators with $|X| = g_x$ and $|Y| = g_y$, r_{xx} relations belonging to $X \cdot X$, r_{yy} relations belonging to $Y \cdot Y$ and r_{xy} relations belonging to $X \cdot Y + Y \cdot X$ such that R is n-step nilpotent. Then for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists a quadratic $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $R^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ given by $\alpha g_x + \beta g_y$ generators and $\alpha^2 r_{xx} + \beta^2 r_{yy} + \alpha\beta r_{xy}$ relations such that $R^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is n-step nilpotent.*

3 Two specific algebras

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an arbitrary field and $R_{3,1}$ be the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra given by 4 generators a, b, c, x and 6 relations $cb - bc + aa$, $bb + aa - ac$, $cc - ba$, xx, $ax + bx - xa - xb$ and $bx + cx - xa - xb - xc$. Then $R_{3,1}$ is 5-step nilpotent.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an arbitrary field and $R_{3,2}$ be the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra given by 5 generators a, b, c, x, y and 9 relations $cb - bc + aa$, $bb + aa - ac$, $cc - ba$, $yy - xx$, yx, $ay + bx + cy - xb - yc$, $ax + by - xa - yb$, $cy + bx - ya - xb - yc$ and $ax + by + cx - ya - xb$. Then $R_{3,2}$ is 5-step nilpotent.*

Our proof of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 is computer assisted. We order the generators by $a < b < c < x$ for $R_{3,1}$ and by $a < b < c < x < y$ for $R_{3,2}$ and use the degree lexicographical ordering on the monomials. We have used the program Bergman [3] developed by Jörgen Backelin (Stockholm University). The software allows to obtain the Gröbner basis and the Hilbert series of an associative algebra given by generators and homogeneous relations. We list the (finite) Gröbner bases of $R_{3,1}$ and of $R_{3,2}$ in the case $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$ in the appendix. Note that the elements of the Gröbner bases are given as members of $\mathbb{Z}\langle a, b, c, x\rangle$ for $R_{3,1}$ and as members of $\mathbb{Z}\langle a, b, c, x, y\rangle$ for $R_{3,2}$. For each of the algebras $R_{3,1}$ and $R_{3,2}$ we have run the program twice specifying $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$ for the first run and $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 2$ for the second. The reason for this will be apparent straight away.

Right now we point out only the relevant consequences of the output:

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{The Hilbert series of } R_{3,1} \text{ and } R_{3,2} \text{ in the case } \operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} \in \{0, 2\} \text{ are}\\ &H_{R_{3,1}}(t) = 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 18t^3 + 21t^4 \text{ and } H_{R_{3,2}}(t) = 1 + 5t + 16t^2 + 35t^3 + 43t^4.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{In the case } \operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0, \text{ the leading coefficients of all the members}\\ &\text{of the Gröbner bases of } R_{3,1} \text{ and } R_{3,2} \text{ are of the form } \pm 2^j \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now we are ready to prove Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Let p be an odd prime number. By (3.2) none of the leading coefficients of the members g_j of the characteristic 0 Gröbner bases of $R_{3,1}$ and $R_{3,2}$ is a multiple of p. It follows that if we replace all the coefficients c of each $g_j \in \mathbb{Z}\langle a, b, c, x, y\rangle$ by the corresponding cosets $c + p\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain Gröbner bases of $R_{3,1}$ and $R_{3,2}$ in the case $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = p$ and the leading monomials are the same as in the case $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$. Since the Hilbert series is uniquely determined by the set of leading monomials of the Gröbner basis, the Hilbert series of $R_{3,1}$ and $R_{3,2}$ in the case $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = p$ are the same as in the case $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$. Looking at (3.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{For every field } \mathbb{K}, \text{ the Hilbert series of } R_{3,1} \text{ and } R_{3,2} \text{ are}\\ &H_{R_{3,1}}(t) = 1 + 4t + 10t^2 + 18t^3 + 21t^4 \text{ and } H_{R_{3,2}}(t) = 1 + 5t + 16t^2 + 35t^3 + 43t^4.\end{aligned}$$

It immediately follows that $R_{3,1}$ and $R_{3,2}$ are 5-step nilpotent, which completes the proof of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we derive Theorem 1.2 from Corollary 2.4 and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a quadratic algebra $R_{3,1}$ given by the set $X \cup Y$ of generators with $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{x\}$, 3 relations ($cb - bc + aa$, $bb + aa - ac$ and $cc - ba$) from $X \cdot X$, 1 relation (being xx) from $Y \cdot Y$ and 2 relations ($ax + bx - xa - xb$ and $bx + cx - xa - xb - xc$) from $X \cdot Y + Y \cdot X$ such that $R_{3,1}$ is 5-step nilpotent. By Corollary 2.4 with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta = 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is a quadratic } \mathbb{K}\text{-algebra } R_{3\alpha} \text{ given by } 3\alpha \text{ generators}\\ &\text{and } 3\alpha^2 = \left\lceil \tfrac{(3\alpha)^2}{3} \right\rceil \text{ relations such that } R_{3\alpha} \text{ is 5-step nilpotent.}\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

By Corollary 2.4 applied to the same algebra $R_{3,1}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\beta = 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{ there is a quadratic } \mathbb{K}\text{-algebra } R_{3\alpha+1} \text{ given by } 3\alpha + 1 \text{ generators}\\ &\text{and } 3\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 = \left\lceil \tfrac{(3\alpha+1)^2}{3} \right\rceil \text{ relations such that } R_{3\alpha+1} \text{ is 5-step nilpotent.}\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By Lemma 3.2, there exists a quadratic algebra $R_{3,2}$ given by the set $X \cup Y$ of generators with $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{x, y\}$, 3 relations ($cb - bc + aa$, $bb + aa - ac$ and $cc - ba$) from $X \cdot X$, 2 relation ($yy - xx$ and yx) from $Y \cdot Y$ and 4 relations ($ay + bx + cy - xb - yc$, $ax + by - xa - yb$, $cy + bx - ya - xb - yc$ and $ax + by + cx - ya - xb$) from $X \cdot Y + Y \cdot X$ such that R is 5-step nilpotent. By Corollary 2.4 with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\beta = 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{ there is a quadratic } \mathbb{K}\text{-algebra } R_{3\alpha+2} \text{ given by } 3\alpha + 2 \text{ generators}\\ &\text{and } 3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2 = \left\lceil \tfrac{(3\alpha+2)^2}{3} \right\rceil \text{ relations such that } R_{3\alpha+2} \text{ is 5-step nilpotent.}\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The formulae (4.1–4.3) show that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a quadratic $\mathbb{K}$-algebra R_n given by n generators and $\left\lceil \frac{n^2}{3} \right\rceil$ relations such that R_n is 5-step nilpotent. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5 Concluding remarks and open questions

We start by reiterating the message that the optimality of Corollary GS for $k \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$ remains an open question.

Question 5.1. *Let k be an integer such that $k = 4$ or $k \geqslant 6$. Is it true that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a k-step nilpotent quadratic algebra given by n generators and $\lceil \varphi_k n^2 \rceil$ relations?*

Another consequence of Theorem GS is the following corollary.

Corollary GS1. *A quadratic algebra given by n generators and $d \leqslant \frac{n^2}{4}$ relations is infinite dimensional.*

The question of the optimality of Corollary GS1 remains open as well.

Question 5.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and d be an integer strictly greater than $\frac{n^2}{4}$. Is it true that there is a finite dimensional quadratic algebra given by n generators and d relations?*

Note that Wisliceny [16] proved that Corollary GS1 is asymptotically optimal. Namely, he proved that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a semigroup quadratic algebra (every relation is either a monomial of degree 2 or a difference of 2 monomials of degree 2) given by n generators and $\left\lceil \frac{n^2+2n}{4} \right\rceil$ relations. The authors [8] proved that in the class of semigroup quadratic algebras with n generators, the minimal number of relations required for the algebra to be finite dimensional is exactly the first integer strictly greater than $\frac{n^2+n}{4}$. In particular, this roughly halves the gap between the $\frac{n^2}{4}$ of

Corollary GS1 and about $\frac{n^2+2n}{4}$ of Wisliceny. As it is observed in [8], for $k \geqslant 5$, the number of semigroup quadratic relations on $n \geqslant 3$ generators required for k-step nilpotency is strictly greater than the number of general quadratic relations. Due to Anick [1], these numbers are the same for $k = 3$. The case $k = 4$ remains a mystery, which leads to the following question.

Question 5.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Is it true that there is a 4-step nilpotent semigroup quadratic algebra given by n generators and $\lceil \varphi_4 n^2 \rceil$ relations?*

To make the above question more appealing, we make the following observation.

Proposition 5.4. *The answer to Question 5.3 is affirmative for $n \leqslant 5$.*

Proof. Note that for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 5$, the numbers $\lceil \varphi_4 n^2 \rceil$ are 1, 2, 4, 7 and 10. Consider the algebras R_n for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 5$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \mathbb{K}\langle a\rangle/\mathrm{Id}(a^2);\\
R_2 &= \mathbb{K}\langle a,b\rangle/\mathrm{Id}(b^2{-}a^2, ba);\\
R_3 &= \mathbb{K}\langle a,b,c\rangle/\mathrm{Id}(c^2{-}ba, cb{-}a^2, b^2, ca);\\
R_4 &= \mathbb{K}\langle a,b,c,d\rangle/\mathrm{Id}(d^2{-}ca, dc{-}ab, db{-}a^2, da, cd{-}b^2, c^2{-}ba, cb{-}bc);\\
R_5 &= \mathbb{K}\langle a,b,c,d,e\rangle/\mathrm{Id}(de{-}eb, ce{-}db, e^2{-}da, ed{-}cb, d^2{-}b^2, cd{-}ab, ec{-}ca, dc{-}ba, c^2{-}a^2, ea).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then each R_n for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 5$ is given by n generators and $\lceil \varphi_4 n^2 \rceil$ semigroup quadratic relations. The proof will be complete if we show that each R_n is 4-step nilpotent. The fact that R_1, R_2 and R_3 are 4-step nilpotent is easily verifiable by hand (in fact R_1 is 2-step nilpotent and R_2 is 3-step nilpotent). We have again used BERGMAN to verify that R_4 and R_5 are 4-step nilpotent. Since for a semigroup algebra the Hilbert series does not depend on the underlying field, we can run the program to obtain the Hilbert series of R_n choosing an arbitrary characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ (we have opted for $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$). This gives the Hilbert series of R_n:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{R_1}(t) &= 1 + t;\\
H_{R_2}(t) &= 1 + 2t + 2t^2;\\
H_{R_3}(t) &= 1 + 3t + 5t^2 + 4t^3;\\
H_{R_4}(t) &= 1 + 4t + 9t^2 + 8t^3;\\
H_{R_5}(t) &= 1 + 5t + 15t^2 + 25t^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus each R_n for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 5$ is 4-step nilpotent. □

We have to confess that the algebras R_4 and R_5 in the above proof are obtained via computer assisted guesswork.

6 Acknowledgements

This research is funded by the ERC grant 320974. We also would like to acknowledge hospitality and support of IHES and MPIM, Bonn, where part of this work has been done. Earlier stages of this research was supported by the project PUT9038.

References

[1] D. Anick, *Generic algebras and CW complexes,* Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory (Princeton, N.J., 1983), 247–321, Ann. of Math. Stud. **113**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987

[2] D. Anick, *Noncommutative graded algebras and their Hilbert series,* J. Algebra **78** (1982), 120–140
[3] BERGMAN http://servus.math.su.se/bergman/ Jörgen Backelin (Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University)
[4] P. Cameron and N. Iyudu, *Graphs of relations and Hilbert series,* J. Symbolic Comput. **42** (2007), 1066–1078
[5] Ershov, M., *GolodShafarevich groups: A survey.* Int. J. Algebra Comput. **22**(2012), N5, 1-68
[6] E. Golod and I. Shafarevich, *On the class field tower* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **28** (1964), 261–272
[7] N. Iyudu and S. Shkarin, *The Golod-Shafarevich inequality for Hilbert series of quadratic algebras and the Anick conjecture,* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **A141** (2011), 609–629
[8] N. Iyudu and S. Shkarin, *Finite dimensional semigroup quadratic algebras with minimal number of relations,* Monats. Math. **168** (2012), 239–252
[9] N. Iyudu and S. Shkarin, *Asymptotically optimal k-step nilpotency of quadratic algebras and the Fibonacci numbers,* Combinatorica, to appear
[10] A. Polishchuk and L. Positselski, *Quadratic algebras,* University Lecture Series **37** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005
[11] Smoktunowicz, A., Bartholdi, L.*Images of GolodShafarevich algebras with small growth,* Q. J. Math. (2013). doi:10.1093/qmath/hat005
[12] Smoktunowicz, A.*Golod-Shafarevich algebras, free subalgebras and homomorphic images,* J. Algebra **381** (2013) 116130
[13] Smoktunowicz, A.*Growth, entropy and commutativity of algebras satisfying prescribed relations,* Sel.Math.New.Ser. DOI 10.1007/s00029-014-0154-x, (2014)
[14] V. Ufnarovskii, *Combinatorial and asymptotic methods in algebra* (Russian) Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions **57** 5–177, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1990
[15] A. Vershik, *Algebras with quadratic relations,* Selecta Math. Soviet. **11** (1992), 293–315
[16] I. Wisliceny, *Konstruktion nilpotenter associativer Algebren mit wenig Relationen,* Math. Nachr. **147** (1990), 75–82
[17] Zelmanov, E. *Some open problems in the theory of infinite dimensional algebras,* J. Korean Math. Soc. **44**(2007), N5, 11851195

7 Appendix: The Gröbner bases of $R_{3,1}$ and $R_{3,2}$

Table 1. *If* $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K}=0$, *the Gröbner basis of* $R_{3,1}$ *is*

$g_1=b^2-ac+a^2$; $g_2=cb-bc+a^2$; $g_3=c^2-ba$; $g_4=-xb-xa+bx+ax$; $g_5=-xc+cx-ax$;

$g_6=x^2$; $g_7=bac-ba^2-abc+a^2b+a^3$; $g_8=bca-ba^2-abc+a^2b$; $g_9=-ca^2-bab+aca-a^2c-a^3$;

$g_{10}=cac+bab+ba^2-aca-aba+2a^2c+a^2b+a^3$; $g_{11}=xa^2-cax-bxa+bax-axa-acx+a^2x$;

$g_{12}=-xab-cxa+3cax+bxa+2axa+2acx-3a^2x$; $g_{13}=-xac+cxa-2cax-bxa-2axa+abx+2a^2x$; $g_{14}=xax$;

$g_{15}=ba^3-abab-2aba^2+2a^2ca+a^2ba-3a^3c-a^3b-a^4$;

$g_{16}=-ba^2b+acab+3abab+5aba^2-5a^2ca+a^2bc-3a^2ba+6a^3c+5a^4$;

$g_{17}=-ba^2c-acab-abab-2aba^2+2a^2ca+a^2ba-3a^3c-2a^4$;

$g_{18}=baba+acab+abab+2aba^2-3a^2ca+a^2bc-a^2ba+4a^3c+3a^4$;

$g_{19}=babc-acab-4abab-6aba^2+7a^2ca-a^2bc+3a^2ba-7a^3c-7a^4$;

$g_{20}=-\mathbf{8}bcxa+15baxa-20babx+ba^2x-5acxa+32acax+4abxa+4abcx-29abax+8a^2xa+24a^2cx+5a^2bx-31a^3x$;

$g_{21}=caba+4abab+4aba^2-6a^2ca+a^2bc-a^2ba+7a^3c+2a^3b+7a^4$; $g_{22}=cabc-2acab-aba^2+2a^2ca+2a^2bc-2a^3c-2a^4$;

$g_{23}=\mathbf{8}cabx-3baxa+12babx+19ba^2x+17acxa+8acax+12abxa+44abcx+9abax-49a^2bx$
$-37a^3x-8caxa+baxa-4babx-9ba^2x-11acxa-4abxa-12abcx+5abax+8a^2xa-8a^2cx+11a^2bx+7a^3x$;

$g_{24}=a^5$; $g_{25}=a^4b$; $g_{26}=a^4c$; $g_{27}=a^4x$; $g_{28}=a^3ba$; $g_{29}=a^3bc$; $g_{30}=a^3bx$; $g_{31}=a^3ca$;

$g_{32}=a^3cx$; $g_{33}=a^3xa$; $g_{34}=a^2ba^2$; $g_{35}=a^2bab$; $g_{36}=a^2bax$; $g_{37}=a^2bcx$; $g_{38}=a^2bxa$; $g_{39}=a^2cab$;

$g_{40}=a^2cax$; $g_{41}=a^2cxa$; $g_{42}=aba^2x$; $g_{43}=ababx$; $g_{44}=abaxa$; $g_{45}=ba^2xa$; $g_{46}=babxa$.

Table 2. *If* $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K}=0$, *the Gröbner basis of* $R_{3,2}$ *is*

$g_1 = b^2-ac+a^2$; $g_2 = cb-bc+a^2$; $g_3 = c^2-ba$; $g_4 = xb-cx-by-ay-ax$; $g_5 = -ya-ay$;

$g_6 = -yb-xa+by+ax$; $g_7 = -yc+cy-cx-by+bx-ax$; $g_8 = yx$; $g_9 = y^2-x^2$; $g_{10} = bac-ba^2-abc+a^2b+a^3$;

$g_{11} = bca-ba^2-abc+a^2b$; $g_{12} = ca^2-bab+aca-a^2c-a^3$; $g_{13} = cac+bab+ba^2-aca-aba+2a^2c+a^2b+a^3$;

$g_{14} = -cxc+cxa-2cax+bxc-2bcy+bay-2bax-acy-aby+2a^2y-2a^2x$;

$g_{15} = xa^2-cxa+cax+2bcx+bay+2bax-axc-axa+2acy-acx+aby-2a^2y+2a^2x$;

$g_{16} = -xab-bxa+bax+2acy+abx+a^2y$;

$g_{17} = xac-cxa-cay+bxa-bcy+2bcx+bay-axc+acy-2acx-aby-a^2y$;

$g_{18} = -xay-cx^2+2bx^2+axy-2ax^2$; $g_{19} = -xcx-xax-cxy+2cx^2-4bx^2-2axy+3ax^2$;

$g_{20} = -x^2a+ax^2$; $g_{21} = -x^2c-xax-cxy+cx^2+bxy-bx^2-axy$; $g_{22} = x^3$;

$g_{23} = x^2y$; $g_{24} = ba^3-abab-2aba^2+2a^2ca+a^2ba-3a^3c-a^3b-a^4$;

$g_{25} = -ba^2b+acab+3abab+5aba^2-5a^2ca+a^2bc-3a^2ba+6a^3c+5a^4$;

$g_{26} = -ba^2c-acab-abab-2aba^2+2a^2ca+a^2ba-3a^3c-2a^4$;

$g_{27} = baba+acab+abab+2aba^2-3a^2ca+a^2bc-a^2ba+4a^3c+3a^4$;

$g_{28} = babc-acab-4abab-6aba^2+7a^2ca-a^2bc+3a^2ba-7a^3c-7a^4$;

$g_{29} = -baxc+baxa-baby+ba^2y-4ba^2x+2acxa+2acay-2acax-abxa-abcy-4abcx$
$-3abay+2abax-a^2xc+a^2xa+a^2cy+3a^2cx+2a^2by+a^2bx-a^3y+3a^3x$;

$g_{30} = -bcxa-3baxa-2baby-4ba^2y-3ba^2x+axca+3acxa+6acay-2acax-abxc-3abcy-5abcx$
$-abay+2abax+a^2xc-3a^2xa-3a^2cy+5a^2cx+5a^2by+a^2bx-5a^3y+5a^3x$;

$g_{31} = -\mathbf{2}bcx^2+2baxy-3bax^2-4axcy+7axax+7acxy-12acx^2-13abxy+7abx^2+9a^2xy-23a^2x^2$;

$g_{32} = \mathbf{2}bcxy+3baxy-3axcy+2axax+acxy-7acx^2-5abxy+6abx^2+5a^2xy-17a^2x^2$;

$g_{33} = \mathbf{2}bxax-23baxy+14bax^2+31axcy-48axax-51acxy+117acx^2+93abxy-86abx^2-71a^2xy+227a^2x^2$;

$g_{34} = -bxca+baxa-baby+3ba^2y-3acxa-6acay-2acax+2abxc+2abxa-abcy+6abcx+4abay$
$-10abax+a^2xa-2a^2cy-9a^2cx-5a^2by-a^2bx+11a^3y-7a^3x$;

$g_{35} = \mathbf{2}bxcy+66baxy-51bax^2-82axcy+130axax+138acxy-312acx^2-250abxy+236abx^2+189a^2xy-637a^2x^2$;

$g_{36} = caba+4abab+4aba^2-6a^2ca+a^2bc-a^2ba+7a^3c+2a^3b+7a^4$;

$g_{37} = cabc-2acab-aba^2+2a^2ca+2a^2bc-2a^3c-2a^4$;

$g_{38} = -cabx-7baxa-6baby-5ba^2y-19ba^2x+3axca+10acxa+19acay-11acax-3abxc-2abxa-11abcy$
$-22abcx-9abay+7abax-8a^2xa-2a^2cy+24a^2cx+21a^2by+5a^2bx-14a^3y+20a^3x$;

$g_{39} = -caby-3baxa-3baby+2babx-2ba^2y-7ba^2x+axca+2acxa+4acay-6acax+abxa-4abcy-5abcx$
$-2abax-4a^2xa-a^2cy+4a^2cx+3a^2by+4a^2bx-2a^3y+6a^3x$;

$g_{40} = caxa+baxa+3baby+6ba^2x-axca-acxa-3acay+4acax+3abcy+3abcx$
$+abax+a^2xc+3a^2xa-4a^2cx-4a^2by-a^2bx+a^3y-4a^3x$;

$g_{41} = -caxc-3baxa+babx-4ba^2y+7ba^2x-3acxa-4acay+5acax+3abxa+2abcy+7abcx+6abay$
$-3abax+a^2xc-2a^2xa-3a^2cy-9a^2cx-7a^2by-a^3y-5a^3x$;

$g_{42} = -cax^2+baxy-bax^2-2axcy+3axax+3acxy-7acx^2-6abxy+4abx^2+4a^2xy-14a^2x^2$;

$g_{43} = -\mathbf{2}caxy-7baxy+10bax^2+13axcy-23axax-24acxy+45acx^2+42abxy-29abx^2-33a^2xy+75a^2x^2$;

$g_{44} = \mathbf{2}cxax-17baxy+12bax^2+25axcy-40axax-43acxy+95acx^2+77abxy-68abx^2-59a^2xy+179a^2x^2$;

$g_{45} = \mathbf{2}xaxa-11baxy+8bax^2+13axcy-16axax-17acxy+47acx^2+35abxy-34abx^2-25a^2xy+101a^2x^2$;

$g_{46} = -\mathbf{2}xaxc+9baxy-2bax^2-7axcy+9axax+10acxy-25acx^2-18abxy+21abx^2+15a^2xy-61a^2x^2$;

$g_{47} = xax^2$; $g_{48} = xaxy$;

$g_{49} = \mathbf{2}xcax{+}39baxy{-}17bax^2{-}63axcy{+}102axax{+}105acxy{-}235acx^2{-}191abxy{+}150abx^2{+}140a^2xy{-}412a^2x^2$;

$g_{50} = -\mathbf{2}xcay{+}65baxy{-}45bax^2{-}83axcy{+}121axax{+}128acxy{-}313acx^2{-}244abxy{+}225abx^2{+}182a^2xy{-}630a^2x^2$;

$g_{51} = a^5$; $g_{52} = a^4b$; $g_{53} = a^4c$; $g_{54} = a^4x$; $g_{55} = a^4y$; $g_{56} = a^3ba$; $g_{57} = a^3bc$;

$g_{58} = a^3bx$; $g_{59} = a^3by$; $g_{60} = a^3ca$; $g_{61} = a^3cx$; $g_{62} = a^3cy$; $g_{63} = a^3xa$; $g_{64} = a^3xc$;

$g_{65} = a^3x^2$; $g_{66} = a^3xy$; $g_{67} = a^2ba^2$; $g_{68} = a^2bab$; $g_{69} = a^2bax$; $g_{70} = a^2bay$; $g_{71} = a^2bcx$;

$g_{72} = a^2bcy$; $g_{73} = a^2bxa$; $g_{74} = a^2bxc$; $g_{75} = a^2bx^2$; $g_{76} = a^2bxy$; $g_{77} = a^2cab$; $g_{78} = a^2cax$;

$g_{79} = a^2cay$; $g_{80} = a^2cxa$; $g_{81} = a^2cx^2$; $g_{82} = a^2cxy$; $g_{83} = a^2xax$; $g_{84} = a^2xca$; $g_{85} = a^2xcy$;

$g_{86} = aba^2x$; $g_{87} = aba^2y$; $g_{88} = ababx$; $g_{89} = ababy$; $g_{90} = abaxa$; $g_{91} = abax^2$; $g_{92} = abaxy$;

$g_{93} = axcab$; $g_{94} = ba^2xa$; $g_{95} = ba^2xc$; $g_{96} = ba^2x^2$; $g_{97} = ba^2xy$; $g_{98} = babxa$; $g_{99} = babxc$;

$g_{100} = babx^2$; $g_{101} = babxy$; $g_{102} = baxax$.

Natalia Iyudu
School of Mathematics
The University of Edinburgh
James Clerk Maxwell Building
The King's Buildings
Mayfield Road
Edinburgh
Scotland EH9 3JZ
E-mail address: niyudu@staffmail.ed.ac.uk
and
Stanislav Shkarin
Queens's University Belfast
Pure Mathematics Research Centre
University road, Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK
E-mail address: s.shkarin@qub.ac.uk